LEARNING IN FIRE SAFETY





Pavel Danihelka

Skilled to be a fire expert, Ostrava, 8.9.2022

FIRST INSIGHT: EVERYTHING IS CLEAR

- Fire safety is taught for many decades
- We are used to do it, we did it thousand times
- It is natural for us
- We understand it well



- We know, what we are doing, are well-trained and exerting it maybe all the life
- NOTHING CAN GO WRONG, RIGHT?

THINK AGAIN !



"LEARNING IN FIRE SAFETY": DO WE REALLY UNDERSTAND IT WELL? LET US START WITH TERMINOLOGY...

LEARNING

- Transfer of information?
- Transfer of knowledge?
- Transfer of sklill?
- Capacity building? What capacity? firefighting? Prevention? Science? Regulation?
- Creativity in fire safety?

FIRE

• What is it "fire"? Is it clear? Example: is catalytic oxidation at 250°C fire?

SAFETY

• This is the most interesting and then I will shortly focus to the phenomena of safety, science and education

LOOKING AT SAFETY – CAN WE SEE CLEAR PICTURE?



AND I LOVE SCIENCE, INDEED. YOU TOO?

What I see when looking at safety and love? Many similarities



We are scientists – what about a short insight to "safety science"?

WHAT ARE SIMILARITIES OF SAFETY AND LOVE?





LOVE AND SAFETY ARE HIGH IN THE VALUE SCALE OF HUMAN BEINGS



SIMILARITY OF

LOVE



SAFETY

- Guided by emotions
- Feeling it is clear to individual, what it means, but not collectively
- Very difficult to speak exactly about
- High uncertainty
- "soft science"
- Cannot be the subject of direct measurement
- Complex, complicated, difficult

- Emotions are important part of it
- Feeling it is clear to individual, what it means, but not collectively
- Not easy to speak exactly about
- High uncertainty
- Large part of it is "soft science"
- Cannot be the subject of direct measurement
- Complex, complicated, difficult

WEL-KNOWN CONCLUSION:

SAFETY, EXCEPT OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL PART OF IT, IS NOT SCIENTIFIC TERM BECAUSE IT IS THE IDEAL (PLATONIC) CONCEPT, NEGATIVELY DEFINED

BY EXACT SCIENCE, WE CAN STUDY RISK OR HAZARD ONLY, NOT SAFETY



RISK MANAGEMENT PROCES IS THE CHAIN.



STRENGHT OF THE CHAIN IS EQUEAL TO THE STRENGHT OF THE WEAKEST LINK

CONTEXT, GOALS SETTING



SCIENCES IN RISK MANAGEMENT

Chemistry Heat transfer Fluid dynamic Toxicology Matematic/statistic medicine/physiology Matter behaviour etc.

NATURAL SCIENCES

Engineering Transport Material science Technology Design Safety industry Testing & mesurement etc.

TECHNICAL
SCIENCESSOCIAL
SCIENCES

Ethic Psychology Management Economy Legislation Communication Education etc.

SOME "BUZZWORDS" OF SAFETY (RISK MANAGEMENT)

• ...complex...

• ...systemic...

• total

All of them contain interactions and feedbacks. How they are realized in the research practice and in education?

The main problem is the lack of interactions - "non-consumed marriage" of disciplines

EXAMPLE: MANAGEMENT OF TOXICITY RISKS

Risk assessment

Risk control



IDEAL COMPLEX SOLUTION

Continue.



MISSING PART? - COMPLEXITY

Continue



Interaction between disciplines, common solutions, cooperation

REALITY OF RESEARCH AND EDUCATION



WHY IS THE COOPERATION INSUFICIENT? Two main problems – motivation and lack of communication among disciplines **Motivation:** fire-figthers: only firefighting is important scientists: "nice" results, publications managers: "money, power, money, power…", but avoiding responsability administration: "if I can hide behind the paragraph, I am happy"

And communication?

Communication should be clear, true and comprehensible



EC50 = 0.0035 mg/l AETL 3 = 4 ppm

14

6

53

8.2. 127 6.2

4

96/198

WHEN LOOKING FROM DIFFERENT ANGLES



Exchange between disciplines and common effort to understand the view, needs and possibilities of others is crucial

DOMAINS OF EXPERTISE & EDUCATION NEEDED

eciali generalists

CHALLENGES

New discipline – generalist of fire safety

• New paradigms?

• Will history of classic physic repeate?

CONCLUSION:

• I love safety, and I see new horizons in education





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

